## Module 4: Reintegration assistance at the structural level

Key Messages:

- Mainstreaming reintegration considerations into relevant development and sectoral policies and strategies at the national and local levels can provide wider institutional support for reintegration processes and identify synergies with other sectors.
- Building capacity and strengthening systems at the structural level allows for greater ownership, sustainability and impact of reintegration programming at the individual and community levels.
- Engagement and coordination of relevant actors is necessary for increasing effectiveness of reintegration initiatives. This coordination should strategically engage all involved actors in the host country and the country of origin.
- Setting up clear and coherent international cooperation systems helps all actors understand their role. It facilitates the standardization of processes and procedures for the benefit of returnees, their communities and their countries of origin.

1								
ı	nt	r೧	വ	110	?ti	റ	n.	

Structural factors that affect reintegration are related to the political, economic and social conditions at the local, national and international level. They influence how sustainable reintegration strategies should be conceived and the types of partnerships that should be mobilized to support individual returnees and their communities. Conditions such as efficient coordination mechanisms, returnee-oriented policies and strategies, and the capacity and engagement of relevant actors in origin and host countries all affect a returnee's ability to reintegrate successfully.

The number and scale of structural interventions in a reintegration programme depends on existing capacities in the country of origin and the needs of returnees and communities. Where the number or needs of returnees is limited or well-established social services are available, structural-level interventions could focus on incorporating returnees into existing structures.

However, in countries of origin where capacities and infrastructure are not adequate to provide returnees and the local population with the level of services needed for sustainable reintegration, policy, technical and material support (to public institutions, the private sector and civil society) may be necessary. Existing structural capacities and returnee and community needs can be identified during the initial context, individual and community assessments (<u>see sections 1.4.2</u>, <u>2.2</u> and <u>3.2</u>).

This module provides guidance on strengthening local, national and the international systems of cooperation, governance, coordination and service provision that underpin the delivery of reintegration assistance. It covers building strategic engagement, capacity and ownership of relevant actors; developing and strengthening coordination frameworks; establishing or identifying effective models for international cooperation and strengthening policy frameworks and strategies to support sustainable reintegration.

#### **Target Audience:**

Policymakers, Programme managers/ developers, Case managers/other staff, Service providers, Local Government, National government, Implementing partners, Donors

# 4.1 Stakeholder engagement, capacity-building and ownership

Working in close partnership with key actors and organizations at all levels contributes to the sustainability of reintegration programmes. It also reinforces national and local ownership of reintegration initiatives. Strategically engaging reintegration stakeholders and developing their capacities improves effectiveness of activities and promotes the continuity of reintegration interventions beyond programme implementation. Strong coordination mechanisms at the international, national and local levels are also crucial for sustainable reintegration. These structural-

level interventions should be considered in all reintegration programmes, starting early in the planning phase and continuing throughout programme implementation.

To strengthen the capacities for sustainable reintegration locally and nationally, structural initiatives should reflect the needs and priorities identified by government and civil society in countries of origin. These types of interventions can include:

- Engaging and reinforcing local and national capacities to deliver reintegration-related services through technical and institutional support;
- Reinforcing the fulfilment of rights for returnees and non-migrant populations alike through quality services in such essential areas as education and training, health and well-being, psychosocial support, employment and housing;
- Increasing sustainability of reintegration interventions by fostering their ownership by local and national authorities and other stakeholders in countries of origin; and
- Strengthening policy frameworks to promote well-managed migration (see section 4.3).

Reflecting these priorities, it is important to engage with identified stakeholders through a tailored engagement approach with the aim to develop joint strategies to address reintegration needs at the individual, community and structural levels.

This chapter presents a detailed overview of essential work with reintegration stakeholders.

- 4.1.1 Stakeholder engagement
- 4.1.2 Capacity-building and strengthening
- 4.1.3 Establishing coordination mechanisms

# 4.1.1 Stakeholder engagement

Following the stakeholder mapping carried out during the design stage (<u>see section 1.4.2</u>) and based on the reintegration programme's strategic objectives and the selection of relevant stakeholders, the lead reintegration organization needs to define an engagement and communications strategy for the various groups of mapped stakeholders. Engagement strategies are descriptions of how a given stakeholder is approached and how relationships are managed over time. The strategy needs to be tailored to stakeholders' specific profiles as well as to their expected role in the programme. In particular, engaging with local authorities at an early stage is crucial, considering their in-depth knowledge of local services and their direct link to returnees and their communities.

Engagement strategies can be classified into the following three categories, according to stakeholder level of interest in the reintegration programme and their level of influence over the reintegration process.

- Inform (low priority): For stakeholders with low levels of influence and little interest in the implementation of the reintegration programme and who may be interested only in obtaining information about what is happening, the lead reintegration organization should simply provide periodic information on its objectives and activities, such as through awarenessraising campaigns, publications or reports.
- Communicate (medium priority): For stakeholders with either a higher level of influence or high level of interest in reintegration programming, the lead reintegration organization should engage in two-way communication to help them value the engagement. Their targeted involvement in reintegration activities should be sought. Communication can be coordination (with partners that can provide certain reintegration services), or invitations to planning sessions (such as for community-based activities) or prioritized access to information on the reintegration programme.
- Manage closely (high priority): For stakeholders that can exert a large influence on the reintegration process and who also have a high interest in engaging with the lead reintegration organization, a tailored engagement approach should be developed. This can take the form of a memorandum of understanding, a joint local development project with a local municipality, a public-private partnership with relevant private actors, research collaboration with a local university or periodic meetings to align processes and identify synergies.

When developing stakeholder engagement plans, it is important to anticipate stakeholders' perceptions of the reintegration programme.

An overview of different stakeholder categories and their possible functions is provided below:

Table 4.1: Stakeholder categories and their relevance and functions<sup>33</sup>

Stakeholder	Relevance Po	ssible functions
? National authorities	National-level authorities are primary stakeholders because they develop national policies and	<ul><li>Adapt the legislative</li></ul>
? Ministries	initiatives that provide the framework for local programmes. They are instrumental to shaping	Plan and in national positions
? Government agencies	international relations with host countries, partner governments and international organizations.	projects; • Establish, and coordi

- Adapt the national legislative framework;
- Plan and implement national policies and projects;
- Establish, manage and coordinate national institutions and services for return and reintegration management;
- Endorse initiatives;
- Liaise with international and local partners;
- Manage public funds at the national level and provide necessary funding and guidance to other actors;
- Provide platforms for multi-stakeholder

coordination: Delegate the provision of services, including to international organizations; Shape international relations with international organizations and

Stakeholder

Stakeholder

Relevance

Relevance

Possible functions

**Possible functions** 

? Provincial and

? Municipal stakeholders

? Associations of municipalities

Local authorities are important because they can local governments operate as an interface between different local actors and between local and national-level actors. They can also provide insight into local priorities and connect reintegration support to existing local development plans, local services and resources. In some cases, they can play a role in bilateral cooperation, through the establishment of decentralized cooperation frameworks.

· Adapt local or regional frameworks for reintegration;

foreign governments.

- Translate institutions and mechanisms for reintegration programming into local policies and strategies;
- Provide services to returnees:
- · Liaise with subnational, national and international actors:
- Provide platforms for multi-stakeholder coordination;
- Delegate the provision of services;
- Develop and implement local development plans and allocate resources for them:
- Manage local public funds and mobilize public and private funds;
- Empower returnees, enhance their capacities and support the fulfillment of their rights;
- Support socioeconomic and psychosocial reintegration;

Stakeholder Relevance ? Private sector are not always mobilized in support of

Private sector actors are important especially for economic reintegration, because they are employers with insight into the local labour market. They often have access to diverse resources that reintegration, particularly financial resources and technical expertise. (See next section.)

Stakeholder ? NGOs

Relevance

NGOs are important actors, nationally and locally, because they have good local knowledge and networks and can mobilize communities and address social issues.

Possible functions

- Drive local economic development;
- Have the potential to be partners for actions related to the environment;
- Promote political participation of returnees:
- Endorse local initiatives.
- Employ returnees;
- Make the labour market more conducive to reintegration of returnees:
- Act in private—public partnerships to support reintegration;
- Partner for innovative community projects;
- House apprenticeship schemes:
- Have on-the-job learning schemes;
- Mentor returnees;
- Act as partners in awareness-raising or information campaigns.

Possible functions

- Collaborate and partner to provide support to returnees and expand access to reintegration programmes;
- · Particularly in areas of high levels of return where the lead reintegration organization has a more minimal presence, NGOs can provide economic, social and psychosocial support if they have the capacity

Stakeholder Relevance

Possible functions for this:

- Use their established community networks;
- Carry out specific services for the economic, social and psychosocial reintegration of returnees:
- Hold specific areas of expertise, such as climate change adaptation or environmental management;
- Partner on advocacy, awareness-raising and information campaigns.
- Provide information about the return context to encourage participation of returnees in community projects already funded by the diaspora;
- Ease the "shock" faced by returnees by introducing social and economic networks to returnees before and upon arrival;
- Partner for enhanced support to returnees in countries of origin, such as through investment in collective incomegenerating activities.
- Develop projects and initiatives that can be relevant for returnees;
- Assist returnees by giving them information on local support measures;
- Partner for advocacy, awareness-raising and

# ? Diaspora organizations

Diaspora organizations can be important because they understand migration experiences and have access to resources and cultural knowledge in both host and origin countries. They also generally have existing social networks in host and origin countries to mobilize support for reintegration. (See further in this section for more information.)

# ? Migrant associations

Migrant and other associations can be important because they understand the migration experience and may already be promoting reintegration, even if indirectly, through their projects.

Stakeholder Possible functions Relevance information campaigns; Provide guidance for the psychosocial reintegration of returnees based on the personal experience of members. Stakeholder Relevance Possible functions International organizations, donors and foreign • Link reintegration ? International governments can be important stakeholders programming with organizations because they contribute to and make other development recommendations for national frameworks, projects in the local ? Foreign undertake their own assessments and territory; governments programming and have access to resources and Integrate the technical expertise. ? Other third reintegration programme into parties existing interstakeholder coordination mechanisms and frameworks (such as **United Nations** Development **Assistance** Frameworks): Enrich situation analysis by sharing information on local ecosystem (stakeholders, processes, socioeconomic dynamics, and so forth); Integrate programmes into their referral systems for services related to the economic, social and psychosocial reintegration of returnees: Partner for advocacy, awareness-raising and information campaigns. ? Academia Academia can be a useful partner because Support research and

Stakeholder	Relevance	Possible	e functions
	academic institutions have done or caresearch and analysis in the local coralso have technical experts and existing the second s	ntext. They	<ul> <li>analysis underpinning reintegration programming, such as labour market assessments;</li> <li>Facilitate reintegration of young returnees with higher secondary degrees;</li> </ul>

- secondary
- Provide language courses.

Monitoring the outcomes of stakeholder engagement can provide insight into how to adjust the approach and engagement methods. Monitoring should build on a summary of noted stakeholder concerns, expectations and perceptions, a summary of discussions, and a list of common outputs (decisions, actions, proposals and recommendations) agreed during initial exploratory talks. A few months following the initial engagement, and after any significant changes, assess progress towards achieving these common outputs and adapt the stakeholder engagement approach when progress is insufficient.

Depending on the type of relationship envisaged with a particular entity, consider formalizing the partnership with the stakeholder. How to formalize depends on the type of stakeholder. With service providers, a lead reintegration organization generally has a long-term agreement (LTA), while partnerships with national and local authorities are generally formalized through memoranda of understanding (MOUs).

Created with Sketch.

Stakeholders may have competing priorities or limited resources and as a result may not be able to engage as envisioned by the lead reintegration organization. However, this could change over time. It is therefore important to remain in contact with stakeholders, even if they are initially unable to support reintegration programming. Their interest in engagement can shift over time.

When considering which stakeholders are relevant for reintegration programmes, the potential roles of the private sector and diaspora organizations can sometimes be overlooked. However, these actors can play an important role in supporting reintegration outcomes, internationally, nationally and locally.

# **Private sector engagement**

Private—public partnerships can generate livelihood opportunities for returnees and community

members and support social integration. Private—public initiatives can include awareness-raising around returnees' experiences, job placement, training and apprenticeships or internships.

Private sector entities can generally benefit from the reintegration of returnees. They can use returnees' manpower and skills; they may benefit from financial incentives to hire or train returnees; and they may enjoy increased visibility of corporate social responsibility (CSR) efforts.

Companies operating in a country of origin may seek specific skills' profiles that are not present in the local population. These companies could be interested in promoting employment of prospective returnees in the country of origin, especially if these returnees have suitable skills gained in the host country. No matter the motivation for hiring returnees it is important to match the skills, needs and interests of returnees to companies' skills' needs and required qualifications (<u>see also section 2.4 on developing targeted economic reintegration plans</u>).

Beyond serving as potential employers for returnees, the private sector can have other positive contributions to reintegration programmes. For instance, the private sector can play an important role in supporting and setting up demand-oriented skills' development programmes or by certifying skills returnees have acquired abroad. For more detail on possible activities to undertake with the private sector, see <u>Table 4.3</u>. Local authorities can often provide a first overview of local private actors who are already engaged in activities that are relevant to reintegration programming.

When entering into partnerships with private sector entities, check that private sector partners are genuinely interested in engaging with returnees and there is a trust relationship between the partners. To avoid a misalignment in the approach taken by a private sector entity regarding the objectives of the reintegration programme, objectives, goals and standards need to be clearly communicated to any potential partner.

Table 4.2 shows, step by step, how to develop a private sector engagement strategy.

referral and matching mechanisms between

jobseekers and private sector entities (public or

# Table 4.2: Developing a private sector engagement strategy<sup>34</sup>

Step	Description
? Determine the	Building on skills' and needs' assessments and
prevalent skills,	the aspirations of returnees, determine whether
challenges, and	the focus should be job placement, vocational
needs of returnees	straining, inkind support, or counselling.
? Identify and	Map existing private sector engagement strategies
assess existing	within the organization and those of partners' and
private sector	assess whether they are compatible with the
engagement	objectives of the envisaged economic
strategies	interventions. If there are appropriate existing
	strategies, work to streamline reintegration into
	those, rather than building separate strategies.
? Identify relevant	Identify companies that could support the
companies	reintegration of returnees by filling identified needs
	(such as, by providing employment, training,
	internships, or apprenticeships).
? Identify existing	Identify existing international, national and local

matching mechanisms

Description
private employment services, skills' assessments
institutes, private pathways for recognition, prior
learning).
Develop a private sector engagement roadmap
that reflects project priorities. Engagement can
range from sensitizing private entities to the need
to support returnees' socioeconomic
reintegration, to providing subsidies or incentives
for including returnees (short-term wage co-
financing, co-paid apprenticeships, and so forth).
(See section 2.4)
Assess the impact of private sector engagement
on the socioeconomic reintegration of
beneficiaries, based on the baseline indicators.

Some countries of origin may have local or national job matching systems, although they may not be fully functional. In case no national or local matching mechanisms exist, developing a jobseekers' database can be considered if reintegration programme resources are sufficient. Due to the resource-intensive character of this type of intervention, partnering with other organizations or institutions and developing co-funding arrangements is encouraged.

Table 4.3 (below) provides an overview of how different types of private sector partnerships can address specific challenges of return migration.

Table 4.3: Reintegration challenges that can be addressed through private sector partnerships<sup>35</sup>

Challenges Inadequate access of returnees to private sector jobs	Relevant private sector actors ? Employers	Type of initiative/partnership	can issue awards or codes of conduct that
			provide some

Challenges	Relevant private sector actors	Type of initiative/partnership	Comments/examples
			publicity or standards for employers successfully integrating returnees.
Lack of certified skills	? Employers in relevant sectors	<ul><li>Apprenticeship schemes</li><li>On-the-job</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Skills' development and certification can</li> </ul>
	? Skills' training centres	learning scheme:	be achieved through subsidized apprenticeship and on-thejob learning schemes aligned with national skill standards in the country of origin.
Resentment in communities of return	? Communication sector	Joint information campaigns	<ul> <li>Successful reintegration stories with positive results for the community can add value to the campaign.</li> </ul>

# **Cooperation with diaspora**

Diaspora in host countries are an important resource for reintegration programming and can contribute to the success of local-to-local partnerships. Diaspora communities can be bridges between origin and host countries because they generally have an understanding of the language and culture in both. At the same time, their knowledge of and emotional connection to their country of origin places them in a favourable position to invest there. To leverage the potential of diasporas abroad to further reintegration programming (and socioeconomic development more broadly) in countries of origin, the lead reintegration organization can help stakeholders in the country of origin connect to the diaspora. The lead reintegration organization can also help align diaspora initiatives with local reintegration and development priorities (see *Table 4.4*, below).

# Table 4.4: Supporting authorities in the country of origin

Action **Mapping diasporas** 

Activities of the lead reintegration organization? Help stakeholders in the country of origin conduct a comprehensive diasporamapping exercise. The model should capture diaspora

# Action Activities of the lead reintegration organization demographics and socioeconomic profiles, strength and nature of ties with country of origin, past and present socioeconomic contributions and characteristics of bilateral relations between country of origin and the countries in which the diaspora live. Identify priority diasporas ? Support identification of priority diaspora communities in selected countries based on demographic weight, their historical and current

- communities in selected countries based on demographic weight, their historical and current engagement with socioeconomic development in the country of origin and the nature and strength of bilateral relations between diaspora countries and the country of origin.
  - ? Support development of strategies for country of origin on engaging effectively with prioritized diaspora group:
    - Consider involving diaspora in migration governance processes and reintegration programming, including participating in governing bodies or inter-agency groups to strengthen ties with origin communities;
    - Create incentives or design tailored fiscal and regulatory measures to promote diaspora investment in reintegration projects in the country of origin;
    - Promote political participation in country of origin;
    - Promote partnerships for service provision in country of origin;
    - Engage relevant ministries or agencies and embassies.
  - ? Help countries of origin implement the diaspora engagement strategy by facilitating dialogue and exchange through return and reintegration offices in the host countries.
  - ? Continuously monitor and evaluate the effectiveness of diaspora engagement strategies on reintegration projects and make appropriate adjustments in the engagement strategy.

#### Develop diaspora engagement strategies

#### Implement diaspora engagement strategies

#### Monitor and evaluate diaspora engagement

Countries of origin may not always have specific schemes or incentives in place to encourage diasporas to invest. Because investment by diaspora businesses and entrepreneurs can be a significant source of foreign investment, the lead reintegration organization could incentivize national and local authorities to develop diaspora investment models that leverage migrants' savings for local economic development in the country of origin and in support of sustainable reintegration of returnees. Possible innovative ideas can include the legal, financial and regulatory facilitation of

partnerships between diaspora business executives and returnee and other business executives in the country of origin under a clear regulatory framework. This can reduce information asymmetry, uncertainty and transaction costs and thus enhance incentives to invest.

Also, country of origin authorities can consider creating mechanisms by which national and local governments can complement the contributions of diaspora members or returnees to fund community-based local development projects. Depending on the willingness of diaspora investors to invest and on potential localbarriers to investment, the government could also consider issuing security guarantees for certain investments (such as partnerships for service provision in areas of high return; generating employment opportunities for returnees and local non-migrants) to further incentivize diaspora investments.

Working in close partnership with key actors and organizations at all levels contributes to the sustainability of reintegration programmes. It also reinforces national and local ownership of reintegration initiatives. Strategically engaging reintegration stakeholders and developing their capacities improves effectiveness of activities and promotes the continuity of reintegration interventions beyond programme implementation. Strong coordination mechanisms at the international, national and local levels are also crucial for sustainable reintegration. These structural-level interventions should be considered in all reintegration programmes, starting early in the planning phase and continuing throughout programme implementation.

To strengthen the capacities for sustainable reintegration locally and nationally, structural initiatives should reflect the needs and priorities identified by government and civil society in countries of origin. These types of interventions can include:

- Engaging and reinforcing local and national capacities to deliver reintegration-related services through technical and institutional support;
- Reinforcing the fulfilment of rights for returnees and non-migrant populations alike through quality services in such essential areas as education and training, health and well-being, psychosocial support, employment and housing;
- Increasing sustainability of reintegration interventions by fostering their ownership by local and national authorities and other stakeholders in countries of origin; and
- Strengthening policy frameworks to promote well-managed migration (<u>see section 4.3</u>).

Reflecting these priorities, it is important to engage with identified stakeholders through a tailored engagement approach with the aim to develop joint strategies to address reintegration needs at the

individual, community and structural levels.

This chapter presents a detailed overview of essential work with reintegration stakeholders.

- 4.1.1 Stakeholder engagement
- 4.1.2 Capacity-building and strengthening
- 4.1.3 Establishing coordination mechanisms

## 4.1.2 Capacity-building and strengthening

Capacity-building for reintegration programmes involves strengthening the skills, structures, processes or resources of key stakeholders so they can facilitate the sustainable reintegration of returnees. Capacity building can be targeted at any stakeholder (international, national or local) that plays a role supporting reintegration. It is best used when there are stakeholders who are motivated to support reintegration but have identified capacity gaps.

Capacity-building and strengthening can comprise the following activities, often undertaken in partnership with national and local authorities and organizations:

- Building and strengthening structures, processes, coordination mechanisms and referral mechanisms for sustainable reintegration;
- Helping national institutions analyse national indicators for monitoring reintegration, and integrating the indicators into wider migration and development-monitoring frameworks;
- Training and mentoring local and national government agencies, service providers and implementing partners to provide services to beneficiaries in a targeted, accessible and equitable manner, in line with their mandate;
- Providing funds or in-kind support for equipment, infrastructure or additional staff to support service provision or coordination;
- Improving coordination for reintegration management between international, national and local actors;
- Helping local governments develop or strengthen their ability to analyse return and reintegration issues within the wider migration and development context, and to identify and articulate priorities;
- Support local authorities to collaborate with civil society.

Capacity-building and strengthening should be integrated into all stages of the reintegration programme and should not be considered a one-off activity. National and local authorities in the country of origin should closely cooperate with the lead reintegration organization to check that existing capacity-building plans are taken into account and that existing coordination structures at various levels of government are leveraged.

(See Case study 13, below, for an example of how IOM worked with authorities in Georgia to strengthen job counselling targeted at returnees and internally displaced persons.)

Created with Sketch. Case Study 13:

## Job Placement and Counselling in Georgia

Limited knowledge in countries of origin on hiring opportunities and promising sectors jeopardizes efforts to properly respond to labour market needs and hinders jobseekers' access to employment.

In coordination with local authorities, IOM Georgia redesigned and expanded the employment support service network by opening new job placement and counselling centres (JPC) in six strategic areas where many internally displaced persons and returnees reside.

The inception phase of this work included assessing the labour market, constructing counselling centres and hiring and training local staff to work as job counsellors. Once established, the JPC started providing outreach information sessions and individual career plan development.

Outreach activities include job fairs (organized in numerous locations to increase their coverage). These fairs provide information on market needs and on available support for business creation, start-ups, vocational training, self-employment and job placement. Jobseekers can register in a database to match their profiles with employers' needs. This database also facilitates follow-up. Furthermore, beneficiaries can go through individual needs' assessments, after which they may be directed to vocational training opportunities or existing job vacancies.

To complement the JPCs, IOM Georgia supported national authorities' efforts to enhance the employability of jobseekers by designing new vocational training programmes for high-demand sectors, training staff and renovating and equipping various training spaces.

The JPCs were originally managed by IOM but are now operated by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Social Affairs.

Created with Sketch. Tips for success:

- Replicate the job centres in contexts where the formal employment sector is dynamic or growing.
- Train JPC staff to interact with jobseekers and remain aware of local market dynamics, training opportunities and promising sectors.

Table 4.5, below, provides an overview of how capacity development can be integrated at different stages of the programming cycle.

Table 4.5: Integrating capacity development into reintegration programming<sup>36</sup>

Phase Situation analysis

Capacity-building activities
? Use the situation analysis (see section 1.4.2) to undertake capacity assessments of stakeholders

Phase	Capacity-building activities and identify capacity gaps;
	? Identify local and national stakeholders that could support capacity development activities;
Strategic goals and priorities	<ul> <li>? Map existing capacity-building strategies and explore ways to mainstream reintegration-related objectives into existing initiatives, rather than creating stand-alone capacity-building programmes.</li> <li>? Prioritize reintegration-related capacity gaps;</li> </ul>
	? Based on these gaps, develop capacity-building initiatives;
Capacity-building strategy	<ul><li>? When possible, align outcomes with existing national and local priorities.</li><li>? Develop a capacity-building plan summarizing the results of the capacity assessment and listing all the identified priorities (see more details below)</li></ul>
Implementing the capacity development plan	? Follow up on the capacity development plan and inform stakeholders of the progress;
Monitoring & evaluation (M&E)	<ul><li>? Implement the capacity-development plan.</li><li>? Develop capacity in collecting, processing, analyzing and disseminating data on return and reintegration;</li></ul>
	? Integrate into the M&E framework indicators to measure progress on the development of capacity in the area of return and reintegration.

Building on the situation analysis and reintegration programme outcomes, the lead reintegration organization should develop a capacity-building strategy that takes into account the following questions.

- Sociopolitical context: What sociopolitical factors are challenges to implementing reintegration programming (such as community resilience, political climate and so forth)? What are the priority reintegration and migration issues?
- Institutional context: What are the institutional and policy frameworks that shape the roles of stakeholders? How do the decisions of key stakeholders affect return and reintegration policies and programming?
- Capacity context: What are the needs and capacity gaps of stakeholders? Who has the best knowledge of good reintegration practices in the country of origin? What resources do stakeholders have at their disposal to provide long-term support to the reintegration programme?
- Coordination and accountability: How can capacity-building maximize stakeholders' capacity

to utilize and benefit from existing coordination and information systems?

 Resources: What resources are available to facilitate capacity-building and sustainable reintegration support for each stakeholder?

The capacity-building strategy identifies and prioritizes evidence-based and objective-oriented activities. It effectively contributes to addressing the needs and goals of stakeholders in line with the objectives of the reintegration programme.

The strategy enables the creation of an action plan and can assist practitioners in deciding which activities will concretely contribute to the overall goals of the reintegration programme and advance the objectives of all parties.

Capacity-building can be aimed at enhancing the tangibles (physical assets, technical competencies and organizational framework) or intangibles (social skills, experience, institutional culture) of an institution or stakeholders, as shown in Table 4.6, below:

#### Table 4.6: Examples of capacity-building and strengthening activities

#### **Tangibles**

- ? Support the elaboration of national and local policies, strategies and programmes into which reintegration and return can be mainstreamed.
- ? Provide institution-specific or joint training courses to enhance the capacity and knowledge of civil servants, staff or managers.
- ? Where there are large numbers of returnees, support the development of inter-institutional coordination mechanisms (inter-agency agreements, MOUs, a steering committee) for relevant national and local actors involved in return and reintegration.
- ? Provide targeted economic resources and required assets or equipment where relevant for streamlining returnees into the service portfolios of existing service providers and implementing partners.
- ? Provide technical support for the revision of standard operating procedures and regulations.

#### Intangibles

- ? Support meetings of government authorities, service providers, civil society organizations, private sector entities and other relevant actors to explore ways of improving coordination and cooperation between stakeholders and to strengthen informal ties between actors.
- ? Design and implement programmes to support social skills for staff working with returnees and to enhance social cohesion.
- ? Provide material and training to strengthen organizational values, institutional culture and staff motivation in relation to key issues of return and reintegration.

At the subnational and local levels (such as municipality or community), implement capacity-building to generate a greater effect on reintegration and to improve service provision, including in ways that benefit the local non-migrant population. When working on local capacities for reintegration support, embrace a multi-stakeholder approach in which local authorities, private sector actors and civil society organizations are actively involved at each step of the process. Capacity-building, in this

sense, can empower local authorities and other stakeholders to streamline reintegration support in their areas by i) supporting the local provision of services in areas of high return, ii) promoting decentralized cooperation, iii) applying for pertinent national and international funds and iv) strengthening coordination mechanisms among local actors and between local, national and international counterparts. (See Case study 14, below, for an example of local capacity-building in the Republic of Serbia.)

Created with Sketch. Case Study 14:

## Capacity-building and reintegration management in The Republic of Serbia

Ten years after the outbreak of war in the former Yugoslavia, the Republic of Serbia encouraged its citizens abroad to return to their country. To that end, IOM supported national authorities to adapt the existing local action plans for refugees from ex-Yugoslavia and internally displaced persons to include the needs of returnees in Serbia, between 2001 and 2012.

IOM Serbia, in coordination with the Serbian Commissariat for Refugees and Migrants, needed to bridge existing action plans with local needs. Through guidance at the national level, local migration councils were set up as suitable counterparts for political dialogue at local level.

IOM Serbia therefore mentored and coached local municipalities to conduct their own needs' assessment along with a mapping of services for housing and livelihoods. Through a consultative process with targeted local municipalities, IOM provided technical assistance to update and expand local action plans to accommodate registered returning nationals. To harmonize local measures used by different municipalities, local action plans were clustered by neighbouring municipalities and country-wide exchanges of experiences were organized.

Created with Sketch. Tips for success:

Foster political willingness and recognition from local communities, because they can facilitate the flow of activities.

Working in close partnership with key actors and organizations at all levels contributes to the sustainability of reintegration programmes. It also reinforces national and local ownership of reintegration initiatives. Strategically engaging reintegration stakeholders and developing their capacities improves effectiveness of activities and promotes the continuity of reintegration interventions beyond programme implementation. Strong coordination mechanisms at the international, national and local levels are also crucial for sustainable reintegration. These structural-level interventions should be considered in all reintegration programmes, starting early in the planning phase and continuing throughout programme implementation.

To strengthen the capacities for sustainable reintegration locally and nationally, structural initiatives should reflect the needs and priorities identified by government and civil society in countries of origin. These types of interventions can include:

- Engaging and reinforcing local and national capacities to deliver reintegration-related services through technical and institutional support;
- Reinforcing the fulfilment of rights for returnees and non-migrant populations alike through quality services in such essential areas as education and training, health and well-being, psychosocial support, employment and housing;
- Increasing sustainability of reintegration interventions by fostering their ownership by local and national authorities and other stakeholders in countries of origin; and
- Strengthening policy frameworks to promote well-managed migration (see section 4.3).

Reflecting these priorities, it is important to engage with identified stakeholders through a tailored engagement approach with the aim to develop joint strategies to address reintegration needs at the individual, community and structural levels.

This chapter presents a detailed overview of essential work with reintegration stakeholders.

- 4.1.1 Stakeholder engagement
- 4.1.2 Capacity-building and strengthening
- 4.1.3 Establishing coordination mechanisms

# 4.1.3 Establishing coordination mechanisms

An effective mechanism is required to coordinate activities of government actors and service providers, such as public and private employment services, technical and vocational education and training institutes (TVETs), business development support centres, education institutions, health-care providers, Civil Society Organizations (CSOs). Strong coordination supports efficient and sustainable reintegration programming. Depending on the context and the scope of the reintegration programme, coordination mechanisms can be international, national or local.

In most contexts, some form of governmental coordination capacity is likely to already exist. However, it may be dispersed around various government agencies and offices. In some cases, the country of origin might already have a dedicated coordination mechanism for migration-related issues, including those related to return and reintegration. In this case, the aim should be to strengthen and unify the existing dispersed lines of coordination under the umbrella of one (possibly already existent) coordination mechanism.

However, in some places only limited coordination mechanisms are in place or there is no coordination between relevant reintegration actors. In this case, it may be necessary to establish a new dedicated coordination structure. Key steps in designing, implementing and maintaining a dedicated coordination mechanism are outlined below.

- 1. **Aim for national and local ownership of the process.** The overall coordination of reintegration activities should be led by the government of the country of origin, to increase government ownership of reintegration and legitimize the coordination mechanism with regard to government agencies and other service providers.
  - In addition to national government entities, local and regional authorities are essential actors in return and reintegration. Coordination is therefore required not only between different national-level actors (horizontally) in the country of origin, but also between national, regional and local stakeholders (vertically). In some countries, there may be existing vertical government coordination mechanisms for processes such as job placement, health-care services, training and basic service provision which can be used and strengthened within a larger reintegration coordination mechanism.
- 2. Map the functions of agencies and service providers at local and national level. The assessment of frameworks, regulations and policies for service provision and service mapping (carried out when reintegration programmes are designed, see section 1.4.2) should be updated with information on existing coordination mechanisms and the hierarchy and relationships between different agencies and service providers. Careful analysis should be undertaken as to where institutionally the coordination mechanism should fit, whether it can be situated within existing frameworks or requires new ones.
- 3. **Develop an adequate coordination mechanism**. Building on the service-provider mapping, put in place a mechanism that facilitates the coordination of national or local stakeholders involved in return and reintegration activities. A coordination mechanism can be an interagency working group or an interministerial committee. The coordination mechanism should i)

be formally endorsed by the government of the country of origin, ii) be chaired by the relevant local authority or national ministry in charge of return and reintegration, iii) comprise high-ranking officials from each relevant line ministry and agency,<sup>37</sup> and iv) be supported by experts as well as representatives of international organizations and civil society.

4. Prepare standard operating procedures (SOPs) for relevant implementing partners. This should include supporting the development of SOPs, joint instructions or joint protocols for all institutions and service providers that are engaged in reintegration-related activities, from registration and assessment of beneficiaries to monitoring and evaluation.

#### SOPs should include:

- What and how information and data are transferred. It is important to exchange only
  information, including personal data, that is required for effective care and assistance.
  Personal privacy is of the utmost importance. The information transferred to other support
  organizations should be limited to details that are needed to facilitate the specific adequate
  care for the returnee.
- Information about how services are provided and beneficiary consent requested. The
  returnee should provide consent to share feedback between care services to facilitate followup and coordination.<sup>38</sup>
- How the first contact is arranged. Details about the first point of contact at each referring organization, including main contact person(s), times available, response times for getting called back, if required, and case data required at first contact.
- **Follow-up and continuity of assistance.** Partners should agree on what further assistance might be required by each organization and arrangements for post-appointment information-sharing, including, for example, in the health context, passing on information about prescriptions and treatment regimens, potential health, including mental health, risks.
- Strong documentation structures. Details of support provided by service providers should always be available and documented in a timely, accurate and secure manner.
   Documentation should include contact details of all actors involved, information on assessments, the assistance plan, information on the monitoring of the plan, outcomes of communications with the returnee and service providers involved in the assistance plan, feedback from the returnee and any other pertinent information.
- Cost arrangements. These should also be included in SOPs, and if relevant any agreements for joint trainings, equipment sharing and so forth.

#### Referral mechanisms

Having an effective referral mechanism in place is crucial for addressing the full array of potential needs returnees might have.

The lead reintegration organization cannot meet every kind of need a returnee might have, so organizations and government services need to connect to one another to be able to help migrants in a comprehensive way. A referral mechanism for returnees can be defined as a formal or informal process of cooperation between multiple stakeholders to provide assistance and protection services to returning migrants.

Referral mechanisms typically include a mapping of services available for returnees. This will inform the development of some type of memorandum of understanding that lays out what the various partners do, as well as standard operating procedures that describe how these connections – or referrals – are made, including how data will be collected, managed and protected. The organizations (or agencies, providers and so on) work together, in effect creating an efficient and accountable network that acts as one 'deliverer' of services. However, it is important to note that a referral mechanism is not a one-off document, but rather the process of working together through various steps of the assistance process.

Referral mechanisms can be local, such as a local case worker referring a client to health screening at a clinic or to a local housing cooperative, or to a jobseekers' consortium that is active in the area. They can also be national, for example connecting returnees with national or international organizations that can provide support or protection through their national network. And they can be international, country-to-country or multilateral, with countries having formal ways to refer migrants to the services of another country or for assessment in, or passing information, to that country.

For more information on developing and implementing referral mechanisms (including sample forms), please refer to the <u>IOM Guidance on Referral Mechanisms for the Protection and Assistance of Migrants Vulnerable to Violence, Exploitation and Abuse and Victims of Trafficking</u> (2019).

<u>Module 2</u> provides guidance to case managers on selecting appropriate services for individual returnees and making referrals within a coordination mechanism.

Created with Sketch. Case Study 15:

# National reintegration SOPs in Côte d'Ivoire

Since 2016, Côte d'Ivoire has seen large number of its nationals returning, especially from Libya and the Niger. This has put a strain on national structures and capacities, which did not previously have established structures in place to assist these returnees. As such, the Government of Côte d'Ivoire has been working closely with IOM to set up specific SOPs and coordination mechanisms to be able to assist a larger number of returnees.

Following a mapping of local and national partners, under the leadership of the Ministry of African Integration and Ivorians Abroad (MIAIE), a Case Management Committee ("Comité de Gestion des Cas") involving key ministries, government departments and a CSO was established. Through this committee, the Government of Côte d'Ivoire adapted IOM's "Framework Standard Operating Procedures for Assisted Voluntary Return and Reintegration Assistance" for the national context by

drafting a national plan on return and reintegration.

These SOPs are now being reviewed at ministerial level for adoption by a council of Ministers. The plan foresees an assistance-sharing approach for which each partner allots assistance to returning migrants according to their budgets, capacity and function.

The committee also manages cases and selects partners for reintegration. Furthermore, some gaps identified during the mapping are being addressed. For example, a reception centre is being renovated where returnees will receive first-hand assistance including counselling, emergency housing, livelihoods' kits and petty cash. Training sessions on migrant child protection for social service officers are also being provided to prepare them to respond to the needs of a high number of returnee migrant children.

Similar mechanisms are being established across 26 African countries in the Sahel and Lake Chad, the Horn of Africa and North Africa through the EU-IOM External Actions to Support Migrant Protection and Reintegration of Returnees programme.

Created with Sketch. Tips for success:

- Capitalize on each partner's expertise, strength and geographical coverage to strengthen the system.
- Ensure that the coordination mechanisms established are accompanied by resources to build capacity.

<sup>32</sup> Adapted from: G. De la Mata. <u>Do You Know Your Stakeholders? Tool to Undertake a Stakeholder Analysis</u> (2014).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Sources: Joint Migration Development Initiative (JDMI), Module 1: Managing the Link Between Migration and Local Development, Module 2: Establishing Partnerships, Cooperation and Dialogue on M&D, in *My JMDI e-Toolbox on Migration and Local Development*, Geneva, 2015; Samuel Hall/IOM, 2017.

<sup>34</sup> Adapted from: Samuel Hall/IOM, 2017 and IOM, Reintegration - Effective Approaches (Geneva, 2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Adapted from: JMDI, 2015b; IOM, *Reintegration - Effective Approaches* (Geneva, 2015).

<sup>36</sup> Source: IOM, 2010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Depending on the scope and planned activities of the reintegration programme, relevant line ministries can include the Ministry of Interior for activities related to registration and documentation; Ministry of Labour for PES and TVET; Ministry of Health for health services; Ministry of Education for educational reintegration, and so forth.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> In some specific situations, referrals by a family member or an organization without the migrant's consent are justified when his/her life is at risk, such as when there is a high risk of suicide, or when the migrant is suffering from a mental disability and is not able to give his/her consent. These last options can be determined only by a mental health professional.

## 4.2 Effective international cooperation

Successful reintegration programming requires international frameworks that promote effective cooperation between the various reintegration stakeholders. These stakeholders are first and foremost the governments of the host and origin countries, at their national and local levels, but also include international organizations, CSOs, private actors and diaspora associations in host, origin and third countries. Developing the proper agreements and cooperation frameworks is important for establishing and maintaining international systems to support sustainable reintegration.

Any reintegration programme requires international forms of cooperation for the successful return and reintegration of beneficiaries from the host country to the country of origin. The extent and depth of international cooperation can, however, vary greatly.

International cooperation to support reintegration programmes can cover the following components:

- Reinforcement of cooperation between actors in host and origin countries;
- Provision of reintegration assistance starting at the pre-return stage;
- Adaptation of reintegration measures to the needs and capacities of the countries of origin;
- Mechanisms to tailor reintegration measures to the needs of individual beneficiaries; and
- Monitoring and evaluation systems to track the progress and success of return and reintegration measures.

This chapter presents an overview of, and considerations to make, for effective international cooperation.

- 4.2.1 Setting up international cooperation frameworks
- 4.2.2 International cooperation models
- 4.2.3 Facilitation of regulated mobility channels

# 4.2.1 Setting up international cooperation frameworks

Setting up international cooperation frameworks for stakeholders in host and origin countries relies on the same processes and approaches as the creation of coordination mechanisms at the local and national level. However, the nature of international cooperation and the greater variety of actors involved makes the creation of these frameworks more complex.

The cooperation model depends on the **type of reintegration programme**. While the operational implementation of reintegration programmes can be led by an external organization, it can also be directly implemented by a government agency of either the host or origin country. Although host country governments only rarely implement reintegration programmes themselves, they generally have an important role in the programme in the form of providing funding, and sharing information and statistics on migration dynamics.

? International cooperation is complex given the **variety of actors** involved. Many return and reintegration programmes are designed as multi-country projects at the global level. Partners in the host country require a comprehensive and up-to-date overview of the evolving context, including available services, labour market and other information on the country of origin (<u>see section 1.4.2</u>), in order to provide adequate pre-departure reintegration planning and counselling for returnees. At the same time, partners in the country of origin require accurate information on returnees' capacities and needs (<u>see section 2.2</u>) prior to their departure from the host country.

# Figure 4.3: Process flowchart for effective international coordination for reintegration programmes

#### **Preparatory information gathering**

- Scoping exercise in country of origin
- Assessment of situation of country of origin nationals in host country
- Assessment of migration dynamics and related issues
- Mapping of relevant stakeholders in both countries

#### Reintegration programme design

- Design and implementation of reintegration programme (implementation, operations, budgeting) based on both countries' legislative and policy frameworks
- Engagement of implementing partners
- Signature of agreements between relevant stakeholders

Development	of a	n internation	nal cooperat	ion framework
-------------	------	---------------	--------------	---------------

#### **Creating a Steering Committee**

- Creation of committee comprising lead entity, donors and priority implementing partners
- Designate a steering committee lead for the design implementation and M&E

#### Developing cooperation framework from pre-departure to post-return

- Agreement on objectives and roles
- Design and implement a coordination and information sharing mechanism (including SoPs)
- Sign MoUs and agreements of cooperation

#### International cooperation models

- International cooperation (including G2G and regional cooperation processes)
- Local-to-local partnerships (including local-tolocal PPPs, diaspora engagement, and city-tocity cooperation)
- Local—international frameworks

#### Facilitation of regulated safe mobility channels

- Awareness-raising with host country governments at regional and bilateral levels
- Developing and expanding regular migration channels

Establishing an international cooperation framework for reintegration programming should take into consideration the following components.

- ? Taking into account the diversity of reintegration programmes and the variety of actors involved, effective international cooperation requires the establishment of a dedicated steering committee to develop and manage the international cooperation framework. This is particularly important for multicountry programmes, where returns are managed from one host country to a variety of countries of origin, or from several host countries to one country of origin. The steering committee should consist of the following members (at a minimum):
  - Lead reintegration organization;
  - Host country governments and or donors (typically host country government entities such as ministries of interior, immigration offices or national development agencies); and
  - Priority implementing partners (often the governments of countries of origin, relevant partner CSOs and international organizations in the host and origin countries).

The steering committee should be led by a single entity which has full ownership and responsibility over designing, implementing and monitoring the reintegration cooperation system. However, during the design phase of the cooperation system, the lead reintegration organization should consult other members of the steering committee, in particular origin and host country governments and other relevant implementing partners, to take into account their preferences and capacity for participation. In many cases, the lead reintegration organization may already have coherent cooperation systems in place that can be locally adapted; however, these are relatively homogenous across the globe, which allows for regional and international operational synergies and facilitates the identification and exchange of best practices.

- ? The steering committee should identify and agree the main objectives of the coordination framework. Possible objectives of the coordination mechanism can include:
  - Facilitating a continuum of reintegration assistance from pre-departure to post-return;
  - Facilitating the systematic gathering, storage and exchange/dissemination of accurate, comprehensive and up-to-date information on:
    - Reintegration support services available in the host and origin countries;
    - Individual returnees (profiles, needs, intentions, past and current reintegration measures);
    - Situation in country of origin: Dissemination and circulation of relevant up-to-date reports and assessments that show the socioeconomic situation of the country of origin, including situational analyses, security assessments, labour market assessments and market analyses;
  - Facilitating cooperation between service providers in host and origin countries through the exchange of information, knowledge, skills and resources; and

•	Supporting scalable and decentralized monitoring to track the progress of individual returnees
	and collective projects through local partners in the country of origin.

? To meet the agreed objectives, the steering committee can create an integrated information management and sharing system. It is important to consider who the owner and manager of this information management system is, define the technical specifications and indicators to be gathered, establish implementing agreements and SOPs, as well as establish a memorandum of understanding for all stakeholders that includes specific information such as who has permission to enter and view specific data. This should be accompanied by a capacity-building strategy as well as detailed monitoring and evaluation mechanisms.

Successful reintegration programming requires international frameworks that promote effective cooperation between the various reintegration stakeholders. These stakeholders are first and foremost the governments of the host and origin countries, at their national and local levels, but also include international organizations, CSOs, private actors and diaspora associations in host, origin and third countries. Developing the proper agreements and cooperation frameworks is important for establishing and maintaining international systems to support sustainable reintegration.

Any reintegration programme requires international forms of cooperation for the successful return and reintegration of beneficiaries from the host country to the country of origin. The extent and depth of international cooperation can, however, vary greatly.

International cooperation to support reintegration programmes can cover the following components:

- Reinforcement of cooperation between actors in host and origin countries;
- Provision of reintegration assistance starting at the pre-return stage;
- Adaptation of reintegration measures to the needs and capacities of the countries of origin;
- Mechanisms to tailor reintegration measures to the needs of individual beneficiaries; and
- Monitoring and evaluation systems to track the progress and success of return and reintegration measures.

This chapter presents an overview of, and considerations to make, for effective international cooperation.

• 4.2.1 Setting up international cooperation frameworks

- 4.2.2 International cooperation models
- 4.2.3 Facilitation of regulated mobility channels

#### 4.2.2 International cooperation models

As mentioned previously, deciding on an appropriate model for international cooperation depends on the scope of the reintegration programme and the capacity and numbers of stakeholders involved. In general, the wider and richer the international network of cooperation, the more effective, efficient and sustainable the implementation of the reintegration programme becomes, as resources and information are pooled together and different actors in the network bring their specific expertise and capacity. However, it is important to note that a higher complexity of networks of cooperation also requires proportionately greater resources to facilitate effective coordination among all actors. It is essential to check that an evolving web of reintegration-related partnerships at different levels (international, national, subnational and local) does not overstretch the capacities of the cooperation framework.

Figure 4.4 provides an overview of potential forms of international cooperation that can support reintegration programmes at different levels.

The forms of cooperation depicted above are not exhaustive, but provide an overview of the most strategically important types of international cooperation that can support return and reintegration programmes:

- **International cooperation**, including government-to-government cooperation, joint reintegration programmes and regional processes; and
- Local-to-local partnerships, including local-to-local public-private partnerships (PPPs), city-to-city cooperation models and diaspora engagement.

The following sections provide an overview of the role these different forms of cooperation can play in return and reintegration and how the lead reintegration organization can support these partnerships.

### International cooperation

International cooperation on return and reintegration can take different forms, most notably bilateral government-to-government agreements, joint reintegration programmes and regional cooperation processes.

#### ? Government-to-government (G2G) agreements

Government-to-government (G2G) agreements between host and origin countries can provide valuable support to reintegration programmes. Bilateral reintegration support provided by the host country can take various forms and can include pre-departure skills' development programmes, business development training and job matching services. While G2G agreements are in the exclusive purview of high-level government stakeholders, the lead reintegration organization can support the establishment of MOUs between governments to support reintegration programmes by:

- Raising awareness of host and origin country stakeholders of the benefits of concluding G2G agreements that support the reintegration. In some cases, government stakeholders from the country of origin may need to be sensitized to the benefits associated with bilateral government-to-government MoUs that elicit and formalise their support for return and reintegration. Such bilateral negotiations can also be used to explore options for creating bilateral labour agreements that enable the certification of skills acquired by migrants while working in the host country to enhance their employability upon return to the country of origin. Similarly, they can address the portability of social benefits for returnees between the host country and the country of origin.
- Providing expertise and best practices on creating G2G agreements with return and reintegration support components that are tailored to the specific needs and opportunities of migrants in a given migration corridor.
- Facilitating the dialogue between host and origin country stakeholders and encouraging their continued support for the formalization of bilateral assistance models.

# ? Joint reintegration programmes

Similar to G2G agreements, joint reintegration programmes involving different governmental or international stakeholders can take several forms:

- Joint reintegration programmes involving the lead reintegration organization, a country of
  origin and several host countries. Pooling the resources of several host countries provides
  synergies and allows for economies of scale in the design and implementation of a
  reintegration project. This fosters the creation of more effective and sustainable reintegration
  approaches at both individual and community levels.
- Joint reintegration programmes involving a lead international organization, a country of origin

and several agencies and ministries from a single host country. In some contexts, return and reintegration activities are conducted in parallel by different actors, and no single entity in the host country has clear ownership of the overall return and reintegration process. Here, coordination among the different stakeholders managing reintegration programmes is essential at all stages to transform parallel reintegration initiatives into a truly joint reintegration programme. Setting up comprehensive coordination -and information- sharing frameworks is crucial. This can pave the way for an effective and concerted approach that involves government stakeholders, returnees and service providers, to avoid duplication of efforts.

#### ? Regional cooperation processes

Beyond G2G agreements, regional cooperation processes can play an important role in resourcing and harmonizing return and reintegration processes at international and national level. (See Case study 16, below, for information on the Puebla Process, a regional migration cooperation mechanism in Latin America and the Caribbean.) Regional cooperation supports return and reintegration programming in various ways. Contributions range from the harmonization of policies and SOPs, to cross-country cooperation in pre-departure counselling up to regional coordination and allocation of funds according to established disbursement criteria.

Created with Sketch. Case Study 16:

### Puebla Process on return and reintegration

The Regional Conference on Migration (RCM) covering Central America, North America and the Dominican Republic, also called Puebla Process, is a non-binding multilateral mechanism of 11 Member Countries that was established in 1996. The Puebla Process aims to strengthen regional cooperation on policies and actions related to migration.

Over the years, the Puebla Process has been a platform to discuss the return and reintegration of migrants and to seek a harmonized and coordinated approach to these matters.

Based on strategic considerations raised in 2014 during Member-led consultation workshops, Members drafted a policy-guidance document, the *Guiding Principles for the Development of Migration Policies on Integration, Return and Reintegration of the Regional Conference on Migration.* This statement set common grounds of understanding for policymakers, and each Member Country agreed to adapt its Principles to their national legislation and policies.

To operationalize these policies, IOM developed the Manual for the Drafting of National Reintegration Policies in 2015 for both government representatives and reintegration specialists to develop national strategies that reflected the economic, social and psychosocial dimensions on reintegration. Since then, El Salvador has engaged in a process of developing national protocols, coordination mechanisms and other tools to strengthen the reintegration process for returning nationals.

Regional cooperation on migration policy and management goes beyond national policy guidance. The RCM has also created a reserve fund for the assistance of regional migrants in highly vulnerable situations, administered by IOM. This regional mechanism provides financial and operational support for the voluntary return of vulnerable migrants, including unaccompanied and separated children, and

for migrants whose needs exceed the assistance available under the existing programmes in each Puebla Process country.

Created with Sketch. Tips for success:

Establish a strong technical secretariat to assist with the organization and monitoring of activities and initiatives of the Conference.

## **Local-to-local partnerships**

As a basis for decentralized cooperation, international local-to-local partnerships can be established between local stakeholders in different countries. Decentralized cooperation has become an important dimension of the international development system and can encompass activities addressing return and reintegration. Because local and regional actors are directly affected by return migration on their own territories, they often have the political will and the local expertise to proactively support return and reintegration. The key benefits of establishing decentralized cooperation frameworks relate to:

- Proximity of local and regional actors to their citizens and territories;
- Potential for complementing reintegration frameworks and plans and international, national and local migration and development strategies; and
- Horizontal partnerships, which increase local ownership and reduce the asymmetrical relations between the different actors usually associated with top-down, donor-recipient approaches.

There are different forms of transnational local-to-local (L2L) partnerships that are particularly relevant for complementing and supporting reintegration frameworks. In particular, diaspora engagement (see section 4.1.1), local-to-local PPPs and city-to-city cooperation models can all support decentralized cooperation. The lead reintegration organization can support these different forms of local-to-local partnerships in specific ways to enhance their benefits for return and reintegration programming.

? PPPs concluded between local private actors in the host country (companies, entrepreneurs) and local public actors in the country of origin (local authorities in areas of return). While private stakeholders engaging in these PPPs often consist of diaspora entrepreneurs in a host country, this is not always the case. To initiate these PPPs, the lead reintegration organization should:

• Support local authorities in areas of return in reaching out to companies that are

headquartered in the host country but which are locally present in the territory of return to encourage them to provide employment opportunities to returnees (for instance, by creating a PPP for an online job- matching tool that facilitates recruitment of returnees from a host country by private host country companies operating in the country of origin). The lead reintegration organization can also liaise between the local authority and the host country's chamber of commerce in the country of origin to facilitate identification of suitable employers.

- Help local authorities in areas of return create networking events, fairs and online platforms
  that link their diasporas with local reintegration programmes in countries of origin (see also
  section 4.1.1, on diaspora cooperation).
- ? PPPs concluded between local authorities in host countries and private actors in the country of origin. These partnerships are well suited to have an impact on, and leverage multiple migration and development issues, including return and reintegration. They can help businesses in host countries expand to countries of origin; facilitate investment in countries of origin; and foster employment in countries of origin. At a strategic level, the lead reintegration organization can also advise the local authorities of both host and origin countries on how to best align the business support measures into existing migration and development strategies and activities.
- ? Partnerships between local public authorities in host and origin countries (city-to-city). Similar to local-to-local PPPs, the benefits of partnerships between local public authorities lie in the partners' territorial expertise and political investment in issues of migration and development. For instance, city-to-city cooperation models can contribute to improved migration governance at the local level in cities located in host and origin countries. The lead reintegration organization can leverage this form of cooperation to benefit return and reintegration programming. When engaging with cities of destination and cities of return, the lead reintegration organization can identify which services are more effectively provided in the host country versus the country of origin. Building on such analyses, the lead reintegration organization can help municipalities in host countries align their capacity-building and service provision strategies with the requirements of predeparture reintegration services. Furthermore, local-to-local partnerships are platforms of dialogue: they foster networks for the exchange of public and private expertise and facilitate the mainstreaming of migration and reintegration into local development planning.

Successful reintegration programming requires international frameworks that promote effective cooperation between the various reintegration stakeholders. These stakeholders are first and foremost the governments of the host and origin countries, at their national and local levels, but also include international organizations, CSOs, private actors and diaspora associations in host, origin and third countries. Developing the proper agreements and cooperation frameworks is important for

establishing and maintaining international systems to support sustainable reintegration.

Any reintegration programme requires international forms of cooperation for the successful return and reintegration of beneficiaries from the host country to the country of origin. The extent and depth of international cooperation can, however, vary greatly.

International cooperation to support reintegration programmes can cover the following components:

- Reinforcement of cooperation between actors in host and origin countries;
- Provision of reintegration assistance starting at the pre-return stage;
- Adaptation of reintegration measures to the needs and capacities of the countries of origin;
- Mechanisms to tailor reintegration measures to the needs of individual beneficiaries; and
- Monitoring and evaluation systems to track the progress and success of return and reintegration measures.

This chapter presents an overview of, and considerations to make, for effective international cooperation.

- 4.2.1 Setting up international cooperation frameworks
- 4.2.2 International cooperation models
- 4.2.3 Facilitation of regulated mobility channels

# 4.2.3 Facilitation of regulated safe mobility channels

Although beyond the direct scope of this handbook, structural interventions at the international level should aim to promote intra and interregional dialogue around creating safe and regulated mobility channels. In line with the definition of sustainable reintegration, which states that returnees should be able to make a free choice for remigration, institutional dialogue between host countries and countries of origin should be initiated and enhanced to facilitate the creation of regulated safe mobility channels.

This is especially relevant when labour market saturation in some countries of origin does not allow for returnees to be absorbed (regardless of skill level). In this situation, identifying sectors of mutual interest between host countries and countries of origin is beneficial to both – followed by skill-enhancement training for returnees in these sectors. When returnees cannot be absorbed by their local markets, there should be an opportunity for regular labour migration, whereby international markets could absorb these workers. In this regard, reintegration assistance (especially at the community level) can be used to invest in local vocational training to respond to the needs of both local and international markets – thus linking reintegration to labour and human mobility.

Adapted from JMDI, 2015b.

## 4.3 Strengthening national policy frameworks

At the structural level, focus should be on ensuring that reintegration is embedded in national migration and development strategies and relevant sectoral policies in the country of origin. This is done through the revision and upgrade of policy frameworks or through the development of reintegration-friendly policies. This is specifically relevant for countries of origin who have a significant number of returning migrants.

Supporting sustainable reintegration requires a whole-of-government approach and should be reflected throughout national and local legislation, policies and programmes. Ideally, reintegration is a component of a national migration mainstreaming process (see box below). However, even without a larger migration mainstreaming process, reintegration can be integrated into relevant sectoral frameworks, policies and strategies (see Table 4.8) at the national and local level.

Embedding reintegration within relevant policy processes aims to:

- Adopt a more comprehensive approach towards migration planning, because return migration, reintegration and development affect each other;
- Harness the benefits of sustainable reintegration for development of individuals and societies in a systematic manner, especially when there are high numbers of returnees;
- Allocate resources more efficiently to meet nationally defined priorities, including reintegration;
- Facilitate coordination among national and local actors around return and reintegration activities; and
- Implement coordinated policies and actions.

#### Spotlight

Migration mainstreaming is "the process of assessing the implications of migration on any action (or goals) planned in a development and poverty reduction strategy." (IOM, 2010, p. 16).

This process should include mainstreaming return and reintegration into legislation, policies and programmes at all levels. It means integrating reintegration concerns into all stages of development planning, including design, implementation and monitoring and evaluation.

The United Nations Joint Programme on <u>Mainstreaming Migration into National Development</u> <u>Strategies</u> has developed online training material on Mainstreaming Migration into Policy Development that provides more information on this process.

Whenever possible, strategies for migration mainstreaming should be developed in partnership with key stakeholders. This can improve commitment and clarity for all involved and improve cost-efficiency via potential cost-sharing arrangements. Similarly, it is important for governments of countries of origin and for reintegration organizations to include reintegration programmes in development frameworks and strategies. Examples of these would be national development strategies or United Nations Development Assistance Frameworks, national employment policies and strategies, poverty reduction strategies and comprehensive migration policies. International organizations with expertise and experience in mainstreaming migration into national or international frameworks are often well-placed to support governments in this process. The effort requires in-depth understanding of objectives and priorities of various line ministries and knowledge of sectoral policies and how they intersect with reintegration and migration management.

Successfully mainstreaming return and reintegration into national and local migration and development strategies and other relevant policies requires certain preconditions to be in place in the country of origin (see *Table 4.7 below*).

# Table 4.7: Preconditions for successfully mainstreaming return and reintegration into policy frameworks

Strong high-level political support

National and local ownership

Inclusive participation based on clear roles

High-level political actors should be motivated to make mainstreaming return and reintegration into the country's agenda a priority. This will assist in securing active participation by relevant national and local-level actors and sustaining the process. The government in the country of origin must be the lead actor in the mainstreaming process, so that its priorities are accounted for and the outcomes are sustainable over the long term. Whenever possible all levels of government should be involved.

Key stakeholders such as groups of returnees, migrant community groups, diaspora groups, civil society, academics, employers' associations and development partners need to become partners in the mainstreaming process to bring in different perspectives, new information and data, political and social support and funding. Broad participation supports a process that is not driven by a single government institution or a few individuals. Inclusive participation requires the respective roles and responsibilities of different

actors to be clearly specified.

Shared objectives

Developing a shared understanding of objectives helps avoid divergent agendas being pursued. To establish and maintain a coherent agenda, promote a clear vision, transparency and regular

dialogue between stakeholders.

Define and follow feasible time frames Providing sufficient time for reflection, gathering of

evidence and consensusbuilding will avoid

unrealistic expectations and allow for flexibility and

learning throughout the process.

In most contexts, all the above conditions will not be perfectly met. However, some can be advanced through the advocacy, technical expertise and capacity-building that is provided by the lead reintegration organization and its partners.

Mainstreaming efforts at the national and local levels should always follow a structured approach. Figure 4.5 below depicts a process flow for the design, implementation and monitoring of a mainstreaming plan. It can be used in contexts where return and reintegration is integrated into existing policies and strategies or in contexts where governments are currently planning (or may in the future) the development of a strategy or policy.

# Figure 4.5: Step-by-step process for mainstreaming return and reintegration into migration and development strategies and policies

Sensitization
 Key stakeholders are brough together to discuss the purpose and objectives of the mainstreaming activity to assist the development of a

proposal for the process.
Scoping exercise
To identify existing return and reintegration components in sectoral policies and development planning frameworks, and to assess the

associated timelines, key stakeholders, challenges and potential ways

forward for structuring the process.

Goal setting
 To identify and prioritize goals. This process should involve all key reintegration stakeholders and reflect their feedback and priorities. If possible, it should be integrated into ongoing national development

planning processes.

 4. Action planning
 Involves selecting and developing programmes and projects in order to achieve the selected priorities, by defining target beneficiaries, specifying

the key activities to be pursued and identifying relevant partners.

 5. Implementation
 Building on the agreed action plan, the public entity in charge should develop an implementation plan and a resource mobilization strategy so that resources, decision-making, roles and responsibilities and reporting

are clear.

The plan should be continously reviewed, updated and adapted. Any return and reintegration policies or actions implemented but not included in the initial plan should also be integrated to track and monitor all

mainstreaming activities in one document.

#### Potential opportunities for reintegration mainstreaming

Mainstreaming reintegration and return should not be limited to migration and development strategies but can be applied to all sectoral policies and strategies that could be relevant for national governance of return and reintegration. A selection of the key sectoral policies and their potential relevance for mainstreaming efforts is provided below:

# Table 4.8: Potential mainstreaming opportunities in different sectoral policies and strategies

Sectoral Policy/Strategy Labour

Education and training

Potential mainstreaming opportunities

- Include considerations of the needs and capacities of returnees within labour policies and strategies;
- Use the skills and assets of returnees for the benefit of the labour market, skills transfers schemes and the economy as a whole:
- Develop or strengthen schemes to facilitate reintegration of returnees into the labour market (such as through public works programmes, skills' development);
- Harmonize relevant goals and objectives stated in return and reintegration strategies with those in labour policies and strategies;
- Foster inter-institutional coordination between labour market institutions and migration-related institutions and actors;
- Build the capacity of Public Employment Services, VET institutes and Business Development Centres and include returnees as an eligible target group;
- Include considerations of the needs and capacities of returnees within education policies and strategies;
- Support returnees' access to education and conducive learning environments, including through recognition of certifications:
- Address returnees' constraints to education access by developing school integration guidelines, establishing language and catch-up classes and recognizing the equivalency of diplomas obtained outside of the country of origin;
- · Expedite certification for school and

Sectoral Policy/Strategy
Social/Welfare
Health and wellbeing
Troutin and Wondoning
Gender and LGBTI

Potential mainstreaming opportunities
university registration or enrolment for
returning school-age children in areas of
high return;

- Harmonize relevant goals and objectives stated in return and reintegration strategies with those in education policies and strategies;
- Foster inter-institutional coordination between education institutions and migration-related institutions and actors.
- Include considerations of the needs and capacities of returnees within social and welfare policies and strategies;
- Support returnees' access to the welfare system (social housing, pensions, social allowances), and address constraints that returnees might face in obtaining personal documents required for access to welfare services (including birth, marriage, divorce certificates, passports and ID papers);
- Support the development of tailored services for returnees in vulnerable situations, including through national referral mechanisms;
- Foster inter-institutional coordination between social and welfare institutions and migration-related institutions and actors.
- Include considerations of the needs and capacities of returnees within health policies and strategies;
- Support returnees to have equal access to the national health-care system;
- Increase the reception capacity of health facilities in localities of high return;
- Establish new health facilities or provide mobile or outreach clinics in areas where returnees and local communities have challenges accessing existing health facilities:
- Harmonize relevant goals and objectives stated in return and reintegration strategies with those in social policies and health strategies.
- Include considerations of the needs and capacities of both female and male returnees as well as for LGBTI returnees within gender and LGBTI policies and strategies;
- Support relevant cross-cutting and sector-

Sectoral Policy/Strategy	Potential mainstreaming opportunities
	based gender issues addressed by the
	policy or strategy to include the specific
	situation and vulnerabilities faced by
	female and LGBTI returnees;
	<ul> <li>Reduce barriers for both male and female</li> </ul>
	returnees' concerns and priorities to be
	included in Gender Responsive Planning,
	budgeting and Implementation

 Harmonize relevant goals and objectives stated in return and reintegration strategies with those in gender policies and strategies.

frameworks:

- Check reintegration programmes and projects are coherent with relevant national policies in the environmental sphere, such as natural resource management, landuse planning, climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction;
- Where relevant (for example, a large number of returnees to a specific area), incorporate reintegration into environmental policies and plans (for example, in relation to expected additional demand for natural resources; increased disaster risk);
- Explore potential synergies between reintegration activities, employment strategies and environmental objectives, via "green jobs" – including those which specifically aim to preserve or restore the environment in communities of return;
- Foster inter-institutional coordination between actors in the environmental sphere and actors in the migration sphere.
- Review criteria for business registration, access to finance and credit take into account returnees' specific situations;
- Undertake outreach to returnees on business and finance opportunities, including between the host and origin countries.

Environment and climate change adaptation

**Business and Finance** 

